

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,982	02/01/2002	Norm Hendrickson	41768/PYI/V165	4215
23363	7590 09/19/2005	EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			меек, јасов м	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A				
	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/066;982	HENDRICKSON, NORM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jacob Meek	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 July 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 11 - 16, 18, 20, 25 - 28, 34 - 41, 47, 49 - 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 34 - 41, 47, 49 - 61 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11 - 16, 18, 20, 25 - 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 February 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, filed 7/1/2005, with respect to claims 34 41, 47, and 49 61 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of amended claims. The objection of claims 34 41, 47, and 49 61 has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11 16, 18, 20, and 25 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 11 16, 18, 25 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kosaka et al (US-4,527,277).

With regard to claim 11, Kosaka discloses an RZ recovery system (see column 2, lines 34 – 37) comprising: filter configure to receive a data signal and to reduce high frequency components from data signal to from a filtered data signal (see figure 1, 100 and column 2, lines 34 – 37); recovery unit configured to receive filtered data signal (see figure 2, IN), identify a 1st type of data transition (see figure 2, 214; figures 3A & 4A; and column 3, lines 35 – 39), and provide phase information when 1st type of data transition is identified (see figure 2, 214; figures 3C & 4C; and column 3, lines 35 – 39); wherein recovery unit comprises phase detector (figure 2, 214) determining phase difference between a recovered clock signal (see

figure 2, OUT) and data signal (see figure 2, IN); and wherein recovery unit further comprises an inhibitor (see figure 2, 218) receiving a phase difference signal and data signal, the inhibitor determining if 1st type of data transition has occurred (see column 3, lines 50 – 53).

With regard to claim 12, Kosaka discloses a recovery unit further comprising a loop filter (see figure 2, 202) receiving the phase difference signal from the inhibitor (see figure 2, 218) if 1st type of data transition has occurred (see figure 3E and 4E).

With regard to claim 13, Kosaka discloses a system wherein the recovery unit further comprises an oscillator (see figure 2, 204) and wherein loop filter (see figure 2, 202) filters the phase difference signal and provides filtered phase difference signal to oscillator (see column 2, line 64 – column 3, line 12).

With regard to claim 14, Kosaka discloses a system wherein filtered phase difference signal acts as a control voltage to oscillator (see column 3, lines 5 – 12).

With regard to claim 15, Kosaka discloses a system wherein oscillator generates the recovered clock signal based on the filtered phase difference signal (see column 4, lines 21 – 23).

With regard to claim 16, Kosaka discloses a system wherein oscillator adjusts the frequency of the recovered clock signal based on the filtered phase difference signal (see column 3, lines 10 - 12).

With regard to claim 18, Kosaka discloses an RZ recovery system (see column 2, lines 34 – 37) comprising: filter configure to receive a data signal and to reduce high frequency components from data signal to from a filtered data signal (see figure 1, 100 and column 2, lines 34 – 37); recovery unit configured to receive filtered data signal (see figure 2, IN), identify a 1st type of data transition (see figure 2, 214; figures 3A & 4A; and column 3, lines 35 – 39), and provide phase information when 1st type of data transition is identified (see figure

Application/Control Number: 10/066,982

Art Unit: 2637

2, 214; figures 3C & 4C; and column 3, lines 35 - 39); wherein recovery unit comprises phase detector (figure 2, 214) determining phase difference between a recovered clock signal (see figure 2, OUT) and data signal (see figure 2, IN) when 1^{st} data transition has occurred; and wherein phase detector determines if 1^{st} type of data transition has occurred (see column 3, lines 50 - 53).

With regard to claims 25 - 28, the steps claimed as method are a restatement of function of the system of claims 11 - 16, and therefore would have been obvious given the aforementioned rejection of claims 11 - 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Llewellyn (US-5,172,397) in view of Kosáka ('277).

With regard to claim 20, Llewellyn discloses an RZ recovery system (see figure 12, INPUT DATA and CLOCK where these waveforms are interpreted as an RZ waveform due to phase relationships) comprising: recovery unit configured to receive data signal (see column 5, lines 37 - 41), identify a 1st type of data transition (see figure 17, 121, 141 and column 7, lines 35 - 39), and provide phase information when 1st type of data transition is identified (see figure 6 and column 5, lines 11 - 21); wherein recovery unit comprises phase detector (figure 17, 83) determining phase difference between a recovered clock signal (see figure 17, Gated

Art Unit: 2637

Clock) and data signal (see figure 2, Delayed Data); and wherein recovery unit comprises an inhibitor (see figure 2, 218) wherein the inhibitor provides the data signal to the phase detector when 1st type of data transition occurs (see column 5, lines 11 – 36). Llewellyn is silent with respect to the details of input circuitry (i.e., filtering). Kosaka discloses the use of a reshaping circuit (see figure 1, 100 and column 2, lines 34 – 37) as a necessary part of receiving a transmitted signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide appropriate signal filtering to ensure proper circuit operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 34 – 41, 47, and 49 – 61 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Amended claims do not appear to be anticipated or rendered obvious by prior art.

Specification

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Russer (US-3,835,398), Forsberg (US-4,464,769), Evans (US-4,546,486), Rozema (US-4,696,016), Miyashita (US-5,889,828), and White (US-6,008,746) all disclose edge and phase detectors along with various clock recovery techniques.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,982

Art Unit: 2637

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Meek whose telephone number is (571)272-3013. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMM 9/14/05

JAY K. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6